1	NEW YORK STATE JUDICIAL INSTITUTE
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	
5	TRANSGENDER LITIGANTS IN THE COURT SYSTEM:
6	Providing Equal Access and Impartial
7	Justice - Part Two
8	
9	October 25, 2013
10	Participants:
11	HON. PETER MOULTON HON. PAULA J. HEPNER (RET.)
12	KIMBERLY FORTE, ESQ. POOJA GEHI, ESQ.
13	ELANA REDFIELD, ESQ. MEGAN STUART, ESQ.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Clara Rubin Official Court Transcriber

JUDGE MOULTON: Welcome to Part Two of

Transgender Litigants in the Court System - Providing

Equal Access and Impartial Justice. My name is Peter

Moulton and I'm the supervising judge of civil court

in New York County. I would like to thank Judge

Juanita Bing Newton, dean of the Unified Court

System's Judicial Institute, and her very able staff

at the Judicial Institute, for supporting this

program.

Today's program has three parts: First,
we'll have a recap of day one of the program, which
concerned general issues facing transgender people in
court. The recap will be presented by Pooja Gehi, a
staff attorney at the Sylvia Rivera Law Project. The
second part of today's program will concern the
experience of transgender people in name-change
proceedings. Finally, the third portion of today's
program will concern the experience of transgender
people in various family-court proceedings. I hope
you enjoy the program. Thank you for coming.

Ms. Gehi?

POOJA GEHI: Thank you, Judge Moulton. And thank you all for being here. And thank those of you who were here two Fridays ago for the first part of this program.

I'm just going to start by doing a pretty short recap of things that we went over two weeks ago. So, starting with ethical obligations of the court. Judicial officers, pers - - - court personnel and officers of the court are responsible for assuring that transgender adults and youth are treated equitably and fairly by everyone they meet in the courthouse and by everyone from whom they receive services. Judicial officers, court personnel and officers of the court are responsible for developing cultural competency in working with transgender adults and youth to carry out their ethical obligations.

So then I'm just going to go over some components of cultural competency. And when we talk about cultural competency, we mean - - in transgender issues, we're talking about breaking down terminology, about gender, sex, sexual orientation, identifying and challenging the messages we have received from our cultures, families, religions and peers that may influence our beliefs or actions, deepening our understanding about transphobia in society, and learning ways to support transgender people in court proceedings and our personal lives.

Okay, so these are just some transgender terms of art and they're terms that are used by advocates, transgender people in the legal, social and political

advocacy, and they're basic - - - they're mostly a

baseline for communication about gender issues in the

courtroom. And other terms can be raised or preferred in

individual contexts. It's really important just to make

sure that you're reflecting terms ahead that people use to

self-identify themselves.

2.1

We use the term "transgender" or sometimes

"transgendered" - - - "ed" - - - but we don't really use

that one, but some people do self-identify as

transgendered. This is an umbrella term; it applies to a

- - a wide variety of people who transgress gender norms

in some way. Typically the term is applied to people who

dress or act in a way that's different or opposite from

what is considered normal for their birth sex.

Many people might identify as transgender; these people might include: those who dress in a certain way, in a way that's associated with one sex, even though they were raised as another sex; people who identify as a particular sex or gender even though they were raised as a different sex or gender; people who simply do not identify with either male or female; and people who identify with some parts of one sex and some parts of another sex or gender.

Then I just want to just talk for a second about the difference between gender and sex. The World Health

Organization defines gender as the result of socially constructed ideas about the behavior, actions and roles a particular sex performs. So we know these are two different things that we're talking about. And we - - - when we're talking about transgender, we mean a person whose gender identity or expression is different or doesn't match that they - - - their assigned birth sex.

2.1

So, some advocates use the term "sex" to refer to anatomical features like - - - such as chromosomes, genitals, secondary sex characteristics like facial hair.

And we - - - we use the term "assigned sex"; and when we say "assignment" in this context, we're typically referring to non - - - the nonconsensual act of assigning a gender to a person that happens at birth.

And we just want to name why we say "assigned sex" and not "biological sex", and it's just because we're emphasizing the fact that this decision is usually - - - are almost always - - - always - - - made by a third person, either a doctor or parent, from the moment a child is born. Right? And - - - and the reality is that one in a hundred babies are born with sex characteristics that don't actually fit in - - - neatly into traditional concepts of male or female. And we quoted the Intersex Society of North America's study for that, and the ISNA is generally who we refer to on intersex issues as experts in

the field. And we also think "assigned sex" is more accurate, just because many biological sex characteristics can indeed change in both transgender people and nontransgender people over time and throughout people's lives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And then - - - uh-oh, why I'm missing - - - so then we use the term "gender expression" to talk about the way a person expresses or represents their gender to the world; and these are things like mannerisms, postures, way of speaking, way of dressing, actions like holding the door, not holding the door open for somebody. And - - and all of these things are influenced by race and class, culture, family roles, religion, peers and media. gender - - - when we use the term "gender-nonconforming", we're talking about qualities and aspects that refer or characteristics or mannerisms that a person may have which don't match the assumptions of the sex that they were assigned at birth, or don't match the assumptions about a way that a particular gender - - - society believes a particular gender should act or behave.

We really always want to be focusing on is people's gender identity, and people's gender identity is their sense of self, their internal personal sense of their gender and who they are. Gender identity is innate; we all have one; most people know theirs from a very young

age. Most people's gender identity remains the same no matter what they look like, act like, or what medical procedures they've had. Sometimes people's gender identities can change over time as they grow or get to know themselves better. Many people have gender identities that don't match their assigned sex at birth.

2.1

When we use the term "transgender", we're talking about somebody whose gender identity or expression doesn't match their assigned birth sex. And I just wanted to name the word - - - the word "cisgender", which is a term that people use to talk about people whose gender identity matches the sex they were assigned at birth. We can think of it as an antonym to "transgender", and it comes from the Latin root "cis" being opposite of "trans". Sometimes people also say - - - "non-trans" and "trans" when describing a transgender person or not-transgender person.

Just really quickly, some terms to avoi - - - or some terms - - - sorry - - - to use to describe gender, and this is how a lot of people self-identify: as women, female, trans women, male-to-female or M-to-F, women of transgender experience, gender-nonconforming; man, male, trans man, female-to-male, man of transgender experience, or gender-nonconforming man. And then some people self-identify as butch, butches or femmes, AG, aggressive,

genderqueer, gender non-conforming, gender fluid, or genderquestioning. And it's always just important to reflect back the way that people self-identify.

2.1

And just some terms to avoid; these terms are - have a lot of outdated, discriminatory, complicated,
loaded meanings. It's really best to avoid using them,
even though sometimes people might use them to selfidentify. And these terms are: he-she, shemale,
transvestite, tranny, cross-dresser, "cross-dresser" is - being not necessarily a negative term but a term that
refers to a very specific group of people and a specific
identity.

I just want to emphasize that gender and sexual orientation are different things. Gender identity refers to the way a person self-identifies their gender. Sexual orientation refers to a person - - - to - - - who somebody is attracted to. And transgender people can be all - - - all different kinds - - - sexual - - - have all different sexual orientations. So trans people can be straight, gay, queer, bisexual, none of those things.

And just, there's a lot of laws on a state and federal level, on the city level, that protect transgender people on the basis of their gender identity and expression. I just wanted to name the New York City Commission on Human Rights Title 8, Section 102, of the

New York City Administrative Code, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity and expression, and applies to landlords, public accommodations, government agencies, and it prohibits harassment, mistreatment, violence or other forms of discrimination based on actual or perceived transgender status, gender identity or gender expression.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And then the last section I'm going to go over is just some tips that we went over in the first part, in a lot more detail, and I'm just going to go through them pretty quickly, and they're all things that are pretty easy to do to make sure that trans people's gender identity is affirmed in the courtroom. The first one is, challenging assumptions. A vital part of cultural competency is the willingness to challenge what you know and be open to the experiences of people who are differently situated. Challenge assumptions about gender; it means thinking critically about the impact of gender roles and expectations on both cisgender people and transgender people; also, looking really closely at structures, like intake forms, bathrooms, hiring practices, security checkpoints, to make sure that transgender experiences are included and accounted for. And some of those things are just thinking about that sometimes people's identification cards' gender marker

doesn't match their - - - their gender presentation, right, and to not make that an issue.

2.1

And then the second thing is just affirming preferred names, which we're going to talk a lot - - - a lot more about in the next section. Many trans people prefer names that match their gender identity and expression and are different from the name that they were given at birth. And it's really always important to just make sure you use the preferred name of a transgender litigant. And if their name is legally changed, it's important to update all of their information on their court records. So some transgender litigants haven't legal - - had a legal name change and it's still important to try to find a way to use their preferred name if at all possible.

It's also really important to affirm people's gender. And when we talk about affirming people's gender, we mean referring to people with the pronoun that they choose to self-identify with. Sometimes we don't know, and it's totally appropriate to ask for a bench conference or a sidebar to briefly check in with the litigant and say, "What do you" - - - "What do you prefer? How would you like me to call you?"

It's also - - - just, if you don't know, it's good to not make assumptions, and avoid using terms like

"mister", "miss", until you're certain about the gender identity of the litigant. Some alternatives are to just use the person's role in the case, so you could say "the def" - - - "Will the defendant please stand up?" or "Will the plaintiff please stand up?" And it's important to just not - - to not assume that a litigant identifies as a particular gender, just because of their legal or preferred name or appearance.

2.1

So, generally when we - - - if we use the party's preferred name as much as we can, as much as we know it, and if we don't know the person's preferred name, it's okay to use the last name; it's better than using the - - a not-affirming name. So we could say "Rodriguez", "parties on Smith", "Plaintiff Smith" or "Defendant Rodriguez".

It's really important to avoid questions that are not relevant to the case. So when thinking about what you're asking, think about whether it's necessary for the purposes of the proceeding or the conversation. And sometimes we say, if you wouldn't ask a nontrans person that question, then you probably don't need to ask a trans person that question either, unless it's directly related to their case.

Avoid questions that are personal or specific to a person's transgender experience, unless they want to

talk about it. If a person gets uncomfortable, don't - - don't push them, in talking about it, to say more.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And then consider the potential impact of transphobia on a case. So these are just some examples, but there's a lot more, some of which we're going to talk about in a minute: So in unemployment cases, think about did the litigant suffer discrimination or inability to find employment because of their transgender. Or in thinking about a - - - person's criminal history, maybe they were arrested for using the wrong bathroom, which is actually something that happens all the time, or using the bathroom that doesn't match their gender identity, or not having identification. Petty theft for clothes, cosmetics or prostitution could also be related to somebody's transgender identity or not being able to affirm their identity. Thinking about family ties and the ways in which transgender people often don't have close family or parent or child relationships with their biological families when they're transgender, because the relationship sometimes becomes really complicated, and then thinking about histories of violence and harassment, how might discrimination have affected the case that's happening.

And then the last thing we just want to - - - I just want to talk about is considering the impact of

transphobia in society. So, just, examples of this are, like, if a person's safety waiver is denied, will publication of their name change out them, will that draw attention to where they live, will somebody be more likely to commit hate violence against them because of that, will the denial of bail result in jail conditions that - - - disproportionately harm transgender defendants, meaning that trans people are really vulnerable to rape and assault inside prison and jails, and will that also unfairly coerce the defendant to take a plea.

And then just considering the impact or conduct of disposition on transphobia. So every action we as lawyers and judges take to reduce transphobia makes a really big difference. Some examples are just that every name-change decision reduces transphobia by allowing a person to obtain ID that corresponds with their gender expression. And every time a judge or attorney uses a name or pronoun that matches a person's gender identity and expression, it creates more trust and investment just in this legal system itself.

So with that, I'm going to turn it over to talking about name-change court specifically.

JUDGE MOULTON: Thank you, Ms. Gehi.

Let me cue up the - - - oh, we have a little feedback. Sorry. Let me cue up the PowerPoint.

/

The next portion of the program will concern issues facing transgender petitions - - - petitioners in name-change proceedings. As you know, I'm Peter Moulton, supervising judge of civil court; I told you that already. But I'm joined by Megan Stuart, a staff attorney at the Peter Cicchino Youth Project at the Urban Justice Center. The Peter Cicchino Youth Project focuses on providing civil legal services to homeless, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and questioning youth in New York City.

Just give me a moment.

Okay. During the course of this program, we will be hearing from lawyers who regularly advocate for transgender people. In addition to participating in the discussion about how we can ensure that courts are welcoming and bias-free places for transgender people, these lawyers may express points of view with respect to particular court proceedings that not all judges agree with. This program is designed in part to open up a dialogue concerning the best practices in such proceedings.

I'll now turn it over to Megan.

MEGAN STUART: Thank you. So the basic assumption of this series is that all people have the right to live and express their gender without fear of violence and discrimination, and that includes being able

1

3

2

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

2.1

22 23

24

25

to access the court.

So, before we go into what the name-change law says, it used to be in common law you could just change your name; you could show up and say, hi, instead of being Megan, I'm now known as Peter Moulton, and it would - - that's just how it worked. Then they codified that common-law right, and the name-change statute is incredibly broad to reflect that common-law history.

So the way name changes work, after a judge issues an order in the - - - oh my.

JUDGE MOULTON: See if I can turn off the other microphone. No.

MEGAN STUART: In the - - -

JUDGE MOULTON: Okay. We're having technical difficulties.

MEGAN STUART: So the - - - a name-change order is required now to update your name at every government agency; it's the key to having a piece of ID with affirming name. And having identi - - - I - - - ID with the right name gives people access to jobs and social spaces that they might not otherwise have access to if they'd have to show an ID and be outed every time they have to show it.

There's a list of other ways that a legal name change can help, but I think a good example is with the

Social Security Administration. To change your name with Social Security, you have to bring a name-change order, an application and proof of your identity, in person. They verify that you are who you say you are, they take the court order, and then they update your name; your Social Security number remains the same. And it's important to note that the name changes don't entitle people to update their gender marker at any government agency; to do that, usually it requires a doctor's letter outlining various medical procedures.

2.1

JUDGE MOULTON: Okay, name-change proceedings are governed by Sections 60 through 65 of the Civil Rights Law, and I'm just going to run through those requirements. The required contents of petitions of name-change applications are set forth in Section 61 of the Civil Rights Law. The form petitions that we use in New York City guide petitioners through the matters that must be addressed in a petition.

To summarize, Section 61 requires that the petitioner state her current name, date of birth, place of birth, age and residence, and the name which she proposes to assume. The petitioner must also provide information on eight questions set forth in Subsection 1 of Section 61; these are: whether or not the petitioner has ever been convicted of a crime or adjudicated a (sic) bankrupt;

whether there are any judgments or liens of record against the petitioner; and the remaining six questions concern whether the petitioner has any outstanding child-support or spousal-support obligations, the courts from which ob - - such obligations emanate, and whether the petitioner is in compliance with those obligations.

2.1

on probation for certain specified violent felony offenses or certain other felonies specified in Subsection 2 of Section 61, the petition must also specify the felony conviction, the date of the conviction or convictions, and the court or courts in which such convictions were entered. If the petitioner is not currently incarcerated, those requirements don't apply; they just merely must state whether or not they've ever been convicted of a felony.

The final requirement in Section 61 is that if you were born in New York State, you must provide a copy of your birth certificate or a certified transcript thereof or a certifi - - - certificate from the appropriate New York State governmental entity saying that no birth certificate is available.

Courts have held that the statutory criteria that I just went through are designed to ensure that a name-change petitioner does not seek to perpetrate a fraud

or misrepresentation or interfere with the rights of any other person. An example of one of many authorities holding that is Matter of Winn-Ritzenberg, which is 26 Misc. 3d 1.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Over the years, individual judges have added certain requirements not specifically required by the statute; they have made the implicit or explicit argument that such requirements are necessary to ensure that a name change does not perpetrate a fraud or misrepresentation or interfere with someone else's rights. For - - - for transgender people, one hurdle that certain courts used to require was medical documentation of sexual reassignment surgery or some other medical procedure or diagnosis that the petitioner had taken affirmative steps to change her There's no such requirement in the statute. now there's appellate authority striking down the imposition of any such requirements. That - - - one authority for that, per opposition again, is the case of Winn-Ritzenberg, which is cited here in the PowerPoint, and also I've already cited it verbally.

Some judges have also required documentation of a petitioner's criminal history, including dispositions, before the judge will order a name change. That's not required by the language of the statute, which provides that petitioners must state whether they've been convicted

of a crime. As I said before, there is a prior-notice requirement for some people who were incarcerated for certain felony offenses.

2.1

An incarcerated petitioner who has been convicted of those certain felony offenses is required, under Section 62 of the Civil Rights Law, to give prior notice of the hearing of the petitioner to the district attorney of every county where the petitioner has been convicted of such felony, and every court in which such felony conviction occurred. Unless the court specifies otherwise, the notice must be no less than sixty days.

Now, I've been a judge for ten years; I don't think I've ever had a name-change petition from an incarcerated person, but they do happen.

Meg - - - Ms. Stuart?

Judges sometimes require that the information concerning - - - that they want this information concerning criminal dispositions. Does that pose a problem for transgender people?

MEGAN STUART: It often can. Like Elana was talking about on day one, and Pooja just recapped, because of the cycles of poverty and criminalization, a lot of our trans clients are either profiled as sex workers and have a lot of arrests for prostitution, or engaged in survival sex. There also is an issue with some of our clients if

they've been trafficked for the purposes of commercial sex work; they'll also have many arrests for prostitution and other related crimes. It can also be really burdensome to get dispositions. You have to go to each criminal courthouse in each borough, and sometimes it can cost ten dollars per disposition.

2.1

JUDGE MOULTON: Of - - - of course the judge has the right - - - and I think every judge does this - - - to require the petitioner, after the order's been signed, to notify the New York Division of Criminal Justice Services that the name change has been granted. Virtually all judges I've spoken with about that question state that they require this post-order notice in cases where people have had some brush with the criminal-justice system.

That doesn't pose any problem for a name-change applicant that you can think of, does it?

MEGAN STUART: No. And sometimes that's best, because if we're requesting people's criminal history, we really want to see their entire criminal history. So it's in the person's interest to have their names linked, most of the time.

JUDGE MOULTON: Okay, birth certificates; they're also mentioned in the statute. If you were born in New York State, the statute requires that you provide an original birth certificate with the petition. There's

no requirement that the petitioner provide a copy of its out-of - - - of his out-of-state birth certificate.

However, I know that I like to see a copy of an out-of-state birth certificate in the court file; it provides some kind of foundational document that provides some proof that the person before me is who they say they are.

2.1

Ms. Stuart, what problems, if any, are there with providing such a copy?

MEGAN STUART: I mean, for some people, there - it's not just as easy as calling up a parent and say,
"Hey, mom, please send me my birth certificate," due to
family violence and rejection. Can also be very expensive
to get replacement birth certificates; it's forty dollars,
for example, to get one from Wisconsin. And some people,
like asylum seekers, are people who have asylum, which is,
you know, they've asked the - - Immigration to stay in
this country for fear of return, based on past
persecution, can't get their original birth certificates
without the risk of losing their immigration benefit.

JUDGE MOULTON: What about other types of identification? Because judges, again, like to have some documentary evidence that petitioners are who they say they are. So, of course the statute requires out-of-state people just to swear that they say - - - that they are who they say they are. Nonetheless, some kinds of

identification cards can provide some proof of identity and give a judge some amount of comfort level. Does that pose any problem?

2.1

MEGAN STUART: It can. Not everyone keeps an ID with the wrong name and gender marker, so they just don't have it. Also, a lot of the studies that have come out recently in relation to the rolling back of the Voting Rights Act have shown that low-income people generally don't have access to photo ID. And I think it's also important to remember that name-change proceedings are regular civil proceedings under the CPLR and that the foundation of those proceedings is a sworn verification. Like, when I practice in housing court, the judges cannot on their own to - - ask the petitioning landlord, "Are you really the landlord? Are you really entitled to this rent?" I don't know why these proceedings would necessarily have to be different in that way.

JUDGE MOULTON: Okay. Now, finally, judges not infrequently seek to verify a petitioner's - - - petitioner's immigration status. The Civil Rights Law does not require any statement by a petitioner of her citizenship or immigration status; it does ask for residence, but that is all. Some authority that holds that it's not necessary to state immigration status is the case of Madam - - Matter of Novogorodskaya - - - it's

easier to remember the cite for that name - -1 2 104 Misc. 2d 1006, and Matter of Lifschutz (ph.), which is 3 178 Misc. 113, and I didn't leave off the number; that is, I think, a 1940s case.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

There is contrary authority, however. at least two published decisions where courts have denied name changes where immigration status was unclear. In Matter of Boquin, 24 Misc. 3d 473, and Matter of Mohomed, 3 Misc. 3d 402, courts denied name-change petitions, insisting that a petitioner state his immigration status and intent to remain in the United States. Again, these requirements do not appear in the statute. legislature has amended Article 6 of the Civil Rights Law since the decisions in Boquin and Mohomed, and has not adopted the reasoning of those decisions by amending the statute.

Would you like to speak to that issue? MEGAN STUART: Yeah. So, generally, after a judge reviews the petition, a petitioner - - - a namechange petitioner is ordered to publish a legal notice of their name change, in a newspaper certified in that county. And what's published is the index number, the petitioner's old and new name - - -

JUDGE MOULTON: I'm sorry; I was unclear. meant to say did you - - -

1 MEGAN STUART: Oh, about the immigration. 2 JUDGE MOULTON: - - - want to talk about 3 immigration status. 4 MEGAN STUART: No; I think you covered it. 5 JUDGE MOULTON: Okay. That's fine. 6 MEGAN STUART: So I (indiscernible). 7 JUDGE MOULTON: Let's move on to publication. 8 MEGAN STUART: I apologize. 9 JUDGE MOULTON: Okay. We have a lot to cover 10 today, so - - -11 If a name-change petition is granted, then 12 Section 63 of the Civil Rights Law directs publication in 13 a designated newspaper. Publication can be waived under 14 Section 64(a) in cases where there is some threat to 15 personal safety. 16 So, take it away. 17 MEGAN STUART: Sorry. So what's published in 18 the newspaper is the petitioner's old and new name, the 19 index number, the courthouse, the petitioner's address, 20 and the petitioner's place and date of birth. Given all 2.1 of this information that's publicly available, it's - - -22 to think for our clients what is personal safety, what 23 does that mean. And as Elana talked about in the first 24 day, study after study shows that trans people, especially

trans women of color, are disproportionately victims of

25

violence, and type of violence that they often face is rage, street violence, and family rejection. And given all of these studies, to think about personal safety, it's not just necessarily that there's one particular perpetrator who's trying to victimize someone, but there's this generalized risk of violence and that, on that basis, publication can be waived. The Matter of E.P.L. in Westchester held that very thing; - - they said publication could be waived for the petitioner's personal safety, because of this generalized risk of violence that he would suffer as a trans person.

2.1

And so then the question is, if a judge doesn't feel comfortable waiving publication, what can be done to reduce this harm. And something that some judges do is not have the petitioner's address in the notice. I think there could be not having both names, because that's really what outs someone as trans.

It's also really expensive to publish; it's 35 dollars to publish in the cheapest newspaper, but it's 250 dollars to publish in, like, the New York Post.

JUDGE MOULTON: Okay. The civil-rights statute does not provide that the public should be excluded from name-change proceedings, but there are some steps that judges and court personnel can take to ensure that transgender name-change petitioners feel comfortable in

court. Just to set the stage, I think it varies from county to county, but many counties are like New York

County in that the name-change proceedings occur in a large courtroom. Approximately fifteen to twenty-five petitions are heard in a given morning. Civil-court judges do vary in how they review the petitions. Some judges want to speak to each petitioner; of these, some judges speak quietly with the petitioners at the bench.

Others ask that the petitioners sit at counsel table, which generally leads to questions and answers that can be heard from everyone in the courtroom. Still, other judges seldom speak to petitioners and instead primarily rely on the contents of the petitions. These judges speak only to the petitioners whose petitions raise an open question.

2.1

So, Megan, in that kind of courtroom, how do you - - - like, what do you think are the best practices?

JUDGE MOULTON: I think the - - - this is something Pooja talked about earlier; but not calling someone by their old name. There's no legal reason that, even if a judge were to deny a name change, that you couldn't still call the petitioner up by their new name; and that's both for the judge, the court attorney and the court staff, because it can be very uncomfortable when you're sitting in court with someone who presents and is read as female and then, you know, "John Doe" is called

out and everyone turns their head and looks and sees this person. She's immediately outed in that moment and it can be very uncomfortable and potentially dangerous.

2.1

Also, titles - - - gender titles like "mister" and "miss", even though generally they're done out of respect, if you don't know the person's gender preference, just to say "petitioner" or just call them by their last name.

Also, as Judge Moulton said, not all counties have hearings. When we do upstate name changes, and even on Long Island, it's often done on the papers. And so the things in the petition that can potentially out someone, like their old and their new name, the reason for changing their name, and any safety-publication waiver they might request, isn't necessarily talked about in an open courtroom.

But in New York, as Judge Moulton said, you know, there's a whole room and, if it's possible, if a judge needs to speak to a petitioner, to do it at the bench when you're asking for clarifying questions, just so, again, the whole courtroom doesn't know that this person is trans and they're not outed in all of these ways.

And also, as Pooja talked about, you know, think about why are we asking these questions of petitioners.

We ask a question about their criminal history that's sort of outside of issues of fraud or the statute; is that going to trigger some kind of trauma, is that going to out them in some way. And just to be aware of every question has that potential, and just to think quickly, you know, do I need to know this. There are many things that judges do need to know but, if you wouldn't ask a nontrans petitioner the same question, I think it would be important to think about that.

2.1

JUDGE MOULTON: Okay. We now have some time for question-and-answer. If anyone in the audience would like to pose a question to myself or to Ms. Stuart, please let us know. We have a microphone.

It looks like we answered everyone's questions.

Ah. There's one question in the front row.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This may not really relate to this program; but just in general, there is a concern about - - - with name changes, with fraud. But to - - the fraud is really based on what the person divulges. There's no checks, I don't think, done by civil court to make sure someone wasn't bankrupt. So why do we require anything? If the court itself is not going to make sure that what is stated is true, I'm not really sure why anything is required.

JUDGE MOULTON: Well, the statute says it's

	required, as we all all the lawyers in the room are
2	shaking their heads, so we know that. But it is it
3	is a weakness of name-change proceedings. I agree with
4	you that there's not going to be a great deal of inquiry.
5	It's it's sort of a due diligence at a rather low
6	level. Does that answer I mean, it's not really
7	_
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, I mean, I've always
9	wondered
10	JUDGE MOULTON: an answer to your
11	question. I agree with your comment.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: because the due
13	diligence is based on what the person divulges, so you're
14	accepting that they are saying what is whatever the
15	truth is, and the
16	JUDGE MOULTON: Um-hum.
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: court system itself
18	does no checks on this. So
19	JUDGE MOULTON: But we rely on that in so many
20	ways, as as Ms. Stuart said and as
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
22	JUDGE MOULTON: Ms. Gehi said. I mean, I
23	I think that you're right; it can be a weakness.
24	But people you know, we hope people don't commit
25	perjury; they do, of course. But we rely on that in the

court system, I think, in so many ways.

2.1

MEGAN STUART: And also, I think these days so little is really tied to our names. You know, so much is connected to our Social Security numbers and our credit histories. So even if you change your name, you're not really - - I think it's very difficult to escape a creditor, with a new name. But you still have a Social Security number, your credit history. The reporting bureau is your bank. You have to update your name at all of these places and, to do that, you have to establish your identity. So in some ways, the name-change order is useless on its own; it doesn't actually do much for people, except it's the key to updating their name everywhere else.

JUDGE MOULTON: Yes, we have another question.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What advice would you have for practitioners who get a judge who does request information that you feel isn't appropriate to ask or isn't necessary for the determination of the name-change application? What recourse would you have once you get that request either - - - you know, sent out from the judge?

MEGAN STUART: You can -- if it -- you can always, you know, ask for a brief oral argument. But a denial can be denied -- can be appealed to the term, if

you get a written denial on that. And you can - - - you know, most judges in civil court are very smart and well acquainted with the law, so just having a conversation can often get everyone on the same page. And maybe, as a lawyer, you can find out what the actual concern is and can it be addressed in another way without maybe having to get something that's well outside of the statute.

JUDGE MOULTON: I find that practitioners who come into court are often very well prepared with authorities, so they actually sometimes hold up cases to me. It's more difficult when it's a pro se, because that person's not going to be armed with recent decisions, of course.

Next question?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Judge Moulton, you mentioned conflicting authority as to whether a judge can request one's immigration status. Since the whole purpose of a name-change petition is to ensure that a fraud is not being committed - - - in fact that's the o - - - that's the only inquiry that the court ultimately has to decide - - and in light of the statute's specific requirement solely of residence, do you have any idea what would be the rationale for a court wondering one's immigration status?

JUDGE MOULTON: Thank you for that question.

2.1

--- I disagree with the authority that requires some statement of immigration status, and I actually --- I wrote a decision, which wasn't published, in which I declined to follow those --- those. So I'm probably the wrong person to ask to defend those decisions.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

It is a - - - I think you're right; it's a - - - it is a requirement that shouldn't be placed on - - - on any name-change applicant.

MEGAN STUART: And there's a lot of other authority that makes clear that immigrants - - regardless of immigration status shouldn't be denied access to the court. And fraud in this context is actually not well defined in any of the case law. A lot of the courts turn to Black's Law Dictionary, which is a very specific type of - - - you know, financial fraud against a person. And so these larger concerns that some judges have about terrorism, post-9/11, which a lot of the contrary authority were from people who were Middle Eastern, trying to anglicize their names - - - that's what I think, at least, one of the Westchester cases was - - -I think that fear's really rooted in - - - I don't want to say "racism", but I think it's oftentimes racism rather than an actual fear of fraud.

And Immigration has their own check system; it's - - - you know, like, we have Social Security numbers.

Most immigrants who are - - - have been known at some time to Immigration, whether or not they currently have lawful status, have sort of an immigration equivalent of a Social Security number. So again, it's not that you can change your name and all of a sudden you can fly under Immigration's radar.

2.1

JUDGE MOULTON: Also remember that post-order, as well, after the order's been signed every judge I know who does name-change proceedings requires that there be notification of the name change to the - - - not the Immigration and Naturalization. What's it's called now, the INS?

MEGAN STUART: The USCIS.

JUDGE MOULTON: Yeah, USCIS.

So I think we probably need to move on to the next part of the program, so I'm going to now queue up the next PowerPoint. And so the next part, - - - the participants are going to introduce themselves. And it's about family court. Thank you very much.

JUDGE HEPNER: Good afternoon. My name is Paula Hepner, and I'm a retired judge of the family court and formerly the supervising judge for Brooklyn Family Court.

I'm joined today on my right by Kim Forte from The Legal Aid Society, and by Elana Redfield on my left, from the Sylvia Rivera Law Project. And we are here to talk about

some of the ways that transgender people will be bringing cases in family court, and some of the issues that will be confronting us.

The goals of our training, as you can see on the slide, are to acknowledge first of all that families are now defined beyond biology. Second, we are recognizing, in our practice, real-life scenarios of transgender parents and children. And third, we want to explain ideas of what is in the best interest of the children.

What you will be seeing in the next remaining part of the program are three scenarios, three different fact patterns, which will be presented by us, with a discussion following of the issues that we think these fact patterns raise. So we will begin with the first one, which involves a couple, Erica and Allison, formerly known as Michael.

ERICA (BY ELANA REDFIELD): Hi. I'm Erica. So Michael and I were married six years ago. We have two children: Amy who's eight, and Steven who is five. We divorced two years ago. I got custody of the children, and Michael received visitation on alternate weekends, including Mondays if his weekends fall on a holiday. Michael also has alternating school vacations and one month in the summer.

ALLISON (BY KIMBERLY FORTE): Hi. My name is

2.1

Allison. I'm formerly known as Michael. Six weeks ago during a weekend visit, I told my children that I now identify as a woman, that I will be dressing as a woman and that I'll be using the name Alison. I've also been dating another woman, and her name is Pamela.

2.1

ERICA (BY ELANA REDFIELD): So the children told me about this right away, and I immediately filed a petition to modify our existing custody agreement, including Michael's visitation. I asked the court to make an order permitting Michael to visit only if his parents - - if his visits were supervised by his mother. And I wanted the judge to order Michael to dress as a man during visits and to refer to himself as Michael only, when speaking to the children. Also, I really don't want Pamela to be around the children.

ALLISON (BY KIMBERLY FORTE): The judge didn't change my visitation after Erica filed her modification petition. But three months later, I was fired from my job because of my transition and how I was expressing my gender. I had to pay for my health care out of pocket, because my health-insurance company doesn't pay for any transitional medical care. So I relied on my salary to pay my rent. And when I lost my job, I couldn't afford my apartment any longer, and so I've been living with friends who have allowed me to stay with them.

ERICA (BY ELANA REDFIELD): Right. So, given the change that Michael just told you about, I filed for another modification because my children can't visit if they have nowhere to sleep.

2.1

ALLISON (BY KIMBERLY FORTE): I realize that living with friends is not a long-term solution, and I've been thinking I may need to enter a shelter so that I can continue to see my children. But Pamela has offered for me to move in with her, and I do think our relationship is going to be permanent.

JUDGE HEPNER: So now we want to talk about the issues raised by this scenario. Children's acceptance of transgender parents.

KIMBERLY FORTE: So in the research it shows that young people in fact do accept their parents' transition. Age may affect that. Older adolescents do struggle a little bit more than younger children in accepting of parents. But we're not seeing in the studies high rejections of - - by children, of their transgender parents.

And I - - - you know, I would argue today that - - - well, not argue. A lawyer. Sorry; it's my role.

But, you know, I would - - - I would say to you that really our role in family-court practice is to assist children in accepting their parents and their current

situations.

2.1

JUDGE HEPNER: CLE code for this program is 7215. 7215.

KIMBERLY FORTE: And so that's really what we should be focusing on is how to assist these children, who are party of this case, in acceptance, and working with professionals whose role - - - who - - - who have histories and cultural competency in working with young people on acceptance of transgender adults, and also even their own acceptance and parents' acceptance of that.

There is a program called Gender Spectrum right here in New York City, and other programs that have incredible cultural competency to work on these issues.

You know, the outside discrimination can really harm the young people in - - - involved in this family, particularly in this case -- a little bit of Erica's -- and how people - - - reaction to Allison and outside discrimination, that being the employer of Allison. There - - what we see in studies is that, unfortunately, transgender people have a significant amount of underemployment. We've talked about the poverty of - - - the cycles of poverty; I won't focus too much on that, given the - - - the history of this - - - these presentations. But we do in fact see a lot of discrimination in employment against the transgender

community, and a lot of people unfortunately losing housing, losing benefits and losing stability because of discrimination based on their gender identity.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

There is really two distinct things going on here for these young - - - for the children in the family and - - - and for the two adults in the family, one being Allison's transition, and the second being Allison's sexual orientation and attraction to Pamela. I think many people would look at this scenario and think, well, if she - - - if Allison is still with a woman, why did she ever transition. And what we really have to focus on is Allison is being honest about who she is and that she is a woman and that she is now in - - - in a relationship and identifies as a lesbian and that that - - - that her sexual orientation and her gender identity are two very separate things, the children will see them as separate things, and that in - - - in addition to the fact that we're not seeing high rejection of parents - - - by children, of their transgender parents, we're also not seeing any sort of negative effects of children being raised in same-sex households. The research just shows that there really is no effect; children aren't more likely to be transgender or more likely to be gay if they're raised by transgender adults or if they're raised in same-sex relationships.

24

25

JUDGE HEPNER: There are a few other issues that come up before judges who have to analyze these problems and these circumstances as they come before them. And so if we were going to talk about what would form the analysis or inform the analysis that a judge would make in this case, certainly clearly in addition to all the factors that we go through involving finances and education and who's looking out for the child's best interests, we factor in and look at the fact that we've had children visiting with a father, an established routine here for two years. This person they've known as their father, they are now going to have to adapt; they're going to need help to adapt to their father's new identity and understand what has happened, how it's happened, and go forward from there. We need to think about how the information was communicated by Allison to the children and was it done with any supports, for example, outside assistance from professionals, if not at the very beginning; did the children - - - are the children going to be offered additional supports and help to make this understanding in their own mind of what has happened and to understand their feelings about this parent; how are the children processing the information now and over time, because those feelings and those processes will in - - indefinite - - - absolutely change; what reaction are the

children having. All of these are factors that need to be considered, along with what is Allison's level of sensitivity to the range of emotions that the children will feel. None of these are barriers; these are questions that go to this application to change custody.

2.1

We also have an issue of a modification petition here, and the - - - the factors that go into that are, what is reasonable under the circumstances, given Allison's financial concerns, her issues. She recognizes the children need a more permanent place to have visitation, something that's more stable than living from friend to friend. We have many families in the family court who are having visitation living in shelters. We certainly don't want to suggest that if Allison were to go into a shelter, as she said, that she would be viewed any differently than any other parent of children in shelters.

We have issues about have the children met

Pamela before, what's their relationship to Pamela, what's

Allison's plan for introducing Pamela to the children if

they haven't met her.

And so as we look at this new constellation of family, it raises a whole group of other issues.

We're going to go to our second scenario, and this scenario has three players: David, Jennie and Hector. And we'll start with David. I'm David.

DAVID (BY JUDGE HEPNER): I got involved with Jennie and we were together for a few months, but we never lived together or anything. When she told me she was pregnant, I was zero to sixty in nine seconds, out of here.

JENNIE (BY ELANA REDFIELD): Hi. I'm Jennie.

So after David took off, I met Hector and we began to have a relationship. I was in my third month. By the time I was in my sixth month, Hector and I began living together. Shortly before my baby Maddie was born, Hector and I got married.

HECTOR (BY KIMBERLY FORTE): Hi. I'm Hector.

When I was born, I was assigned a female gender at birth and was given a female name. I've identified as a man as long as I can remember. Before I met Jennie, I medically transitioned, I had a legal name change, and now all my IDs in - - indicate a gender marker of male. I have lived with Maddie since she was born, and she calls me Daddy. Her birth certificate says that I'm Maddie's father.

JENNIE (BY ELANA REDFIELD): So David has had no contact with me ever since he left, and he has not gotten in touch with me to find out about the baby, how she's doing. He's never seen Maddie and he's never had any contact with her.

DAVID (BY JUDGE HEPNER): Well, it took me a while to find her. You know, I didn't have any idea where she moved after we broke up. I finally tracked her and the baby down and I found out what's up with them and, once I did, I ran into court and I filed for paternity and custody, because I just don't think Maddie's safe with them. I don't think Maddie's safe with Jennie and Hector in that house.

JENNIE (BY ELANA REDFIELD): When I was served with petitions for paternity and custody, I cross-filed for an order of protection because David is constantly calling me and coming around and threatening me and Hector in front of Maddie, who's now two years old. I also moved to dismiss David's paternity petition because Maddie was born during my marriage with Hector, and she's his daughter.

DAVID (BY JUDGE HEPNER): Hector can't be a father to any child, because he's a woman. You just can't cut me out of things like that.

me to be served with a paternity and custody petitions, and I came to court, along with my wife, to assert the presumption of legitimacy and assert an estoppel defense.

JUDGE HEPNER: So now we'll turn to what the issues raised here are.

ELANA REDFIELD: So one of the questions that comes up here is what does it take to legally change one's gender and is it binding on courts. In New York State there is actually no provision for legally changing your gender, and it's a matter of whether each agency updates your gender. Under New York City law and State law, you are supposed to respect how someone identifies their gender identity, and therefore that is what is dominant in a situation.

2.1

KIMBERLY FORTE: Many people might look at this scenario and think only sex assigned at birth and assume that the marriage between Jennie and Hector is a same-sex marriage. But in fact, at the time that Jennie and Hector are married, Hector is fully identified as a man, and it was a heterosexual marriage, so the child was born of a heterosexual marriage in this circumstance.

You know, would it make a difference - - - I put this question to the panel a little bit: would it make a difference if Hector had only socially transitioned and did not have I - - - did not have a legal name change or that did not have gender marker changes on his IDs? I would argue, in - - - in some ways, it changes it a little bit, but does - - - significantly overall it doesn't change it. If that were the case, what we would be looking at is in fact a - - - a same-sex marriage where

legally two women were married, even though Hector socially was identifying as a man and had not maybe had those legal documents changed with a name change. And what we know about New York law is that any child born of a marriage is a product of that marriage.

2.1

So in this case, perhaps Hector's legal name, if it were not Hector at the time, may have gone on the birth certificate after the marriage certificate was served in the hospital to the staff, under parent 1 and parent 2, which is now how it's done after marriage equality passed in New York State. But really, Maddie is still a product of that marriage, and these are two - - - that they would legally be two female parents.

TUDGE HEPNER: I think, if we remember back to the first presentation two weeks ago when Elana was talking about the fact that gender - - having surgery to alter one's gender is not available to everybody, that it is a process that ignores the fact that people have the right to identify on their own who they are, with or without interventions. And I think we were trying to point out two weeks ago that because most people cannot afford these surgeries, if we are going to go the direction of only recognizing Hector and Jennie's relationship as a lesbian relationship, then we have cut out a certain group of people from legal recognition of

their relationships.

2.1

And I think, if you recall two weeks ago, Elana was talking about doctors affirming the success of a gender transition based only on appropriate clinical treatment rather than moving in the direction of surgeries, I think we then see that we can be more equitable and more affirming to everybody who comes before us. And this shift in our focus away from medical intervention to how one is going to identify themselves to the world and be respectful of that is the direction that we want to move in order to be affirming to the people who come before us.

And as Kim just said, in a paternity case, either as a legal marriage of different-gendered people or as a legal marriage of same-gendered people, the issue here for this case is the presumption of legitimacy, and the issue here is an estoppel. It seems to me David either has to overcome the presumption and defeat an estoppel argument to become a dad, or he simply has to proceed on his custody position as a legal stranger to Maddie.

These issues about paternity are getting very dicey in the courts, and I think, in part, it's because we're calling them paternity proceedings rather than parentage. We now are moving away from biology as a

determinant factor, and looking at the functions of people and what roles people are playing in a child's life, who loves a child, who does a child love, who expresses affection for -- who does the child give affection to.

These are the issues that are of critical importance. And when we start talking about parentage, we get away from the biology that we have been living with in a heterosexist world for many, many years.

2.1

KIMBERLY FORTE: And speaking from the perspective of a - - a children's rights attorney, which I have been for many years, from the perspective of Maddie, Hector is her father, right? And so when we think about estoppel and we think about how that attaches to the child's relationships, this is who raised her, this is who was there in her home at the time of her birth, who has been raising her the whole time and been caring for her. And so from the perspective of her being a party to this case, that is certainly what I and, I think, most if not all of my colleagues would be fighting for: the recognition that Hector here is - - is the father of the child and that - - to stop any - - any of David's efforts to - - to take that away from him.

So, we're ready to move on to 3?

JUDGE HEPNER: Okay. So we'll now go to the third scenario, which also has three characters: Jessie,

Peter and Ellie.

2.1

JESSIE (BY ELANA REDFIELD): So Peter and Ellie are my dad and mom. I was given the name of Justin - - - don't tell anyone - - - when I was born. Since I was four, I began telling my parents I'm a girl, and I asked them to call me Jessie. My dad is okay with it, but my mom just goes nuts. Recently I asked if I could begin taking hormone blockers and dress like a girl in school. I'm getting really anxious about getting older and things changing, and I really want to make sure that I can live my life as a woman. I also want to get my name legally changed. My dad says okay, but not my mom.

PETER (BY KIMBERLY FORTE): Hi. I'm Jessie's father, Peter. I've always been supportive of Jessie's gender identity by us - - - and been comfortable with her using her preferred name and pronoun, and allowing her to wear feminine clothing inside and outside of my house when she stays with me. Ellie always tells Jessie to keep it in the family and not to tell anyone; She refers to Jessie as "my son", calls her Justin, and uses the pronoun "he" when talking about her. Her inability - - - Ellie's inability to accept Jessie is one of the reasons I wanted to separate and leave.

ELLIE (BY JUDGE HEPNER): Yeah, well, Peter and I were married for seventeen years, but after we split up

we got a separation agreement and I got primary physical custody of our fourteen-year-old child, Justin. Peter sees Justin on Wednesday night for dinner and three weekends a month.

2.1

PETER (BY KIMBERLY FORTE): After everything that's been going on with Jessie, I filed a modif - - - to modify our separation agreement, stating the change in circumstances and asking for physical custody of Jessie.

I want to have a - - - the judge order, as a condition of any visits with Ellie, that - - or any - - any visits Ellie has with Jessie, that she undergo therapy and understand Jessie's transition and Jessie's identity.

ELLIE (BY JUDGE HEPNER): That's just ridiculous. When I realized Peter would let Justin do all of these things, I cross-filed for a modification asking to have his visits suspended or estopped with Justin or else changed to supervised visits, because he's just not acting in the best interests of our son. Justin is just not old enough to decide anything like this. That's my job. After all, I'm the parent here and I know what's best for my child.

KIMBERLY FORTE: So what are we seeing in this scenario? Recently the DSM-5 was issued, the Diagnostic Statistical Manual for Mental Health Disorders - - - I think I got that right - - and in it there's a diagnosis

of gender dysphoria. It was previously known in the DSM-4 as gender-identity disorder, but there is a diagnosis of gender dysphoria in children.

2.1

And what the diagnosis says is that it is a diagnosis of a person who has - - - who does not identify with their sex assigned at birth. It's important for us to note that the word "disorder" was taken out of this diagnosis, and the reason for that is -- is because, I think, medic - - the medical community and the psychological community have now all agreed that the concept of a disorder was not the appropriate terminology when we were talking about people who identified as transgender or who had gender dysphoria. It wasn't a disorder of them that they identified this way. And so really - - taking away that disorder was really important.

In addition, what the diagnosis now does is it talks about the outside discriminatory factors that really impact young people and adults who are iden - - - who are diagnosed with gender dysphoria, and how a lack of acceptance and how multiple factors of discrimination against them can cause many other issues in their life.

And it's not really the concept or the idea of be - - - of having gender dysphoria or identifying as transgender that causes that, but really the outside influence of

discrimination and transphobia in our country.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And so what do we know about not accepting young people who identify as transgender? We know, by some great work done at an organization called the Family Acceptance Project, and also from some incredible trans advocates across this country, that the - - - that when we do not accept and affirm our young people who identify as transgender - - - and adults for that matter, but significantly in young people - - - their poor outcomes and - - and - - and the statistical reality of them engaging in self-harm, their suicide rates, their drugabuse rates, their exposure to HIV, all of those rates increase exponentially. Like, for example, the statistic for suicidality is 8.9 times more likely than the average American youth. And so we really see some really harsh realities for young people who are not accepted by their parents.

What we see is that if that young person is even just slightly accepted by their parents, those numbers come down quite a bit; almost cut in half. And as we go across the continuum to full acceptance of (sic) their parents, what we see is young people who are allowed to identify, either whether that's based on their sexual orientation other than heterosexual or their gender identity that's not their gender - - - that's not their

sex assigned at birth, that once parents become very accepting of them, kids have just the same statistical realities of outcomes and treatment and everything, compared to their - - compared to the average American youth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And so what we know is that it's crucially important that we have adults in young people's lives who are accepting and affirming and allow them to be who they are, and that gives young people the most traject - - - the best trajectory towards success.

Finally, my question was, what should we expect from the attorney for the child, because you're likely going to appoint an attorney for the child on this case and they're going to have representation. I would firmly argue, and I think it's been the position of most either institutional offices or individual practitioners who represent young people in family court is that attorneys for children must be culturally competent when they're representing either transgender youth or gay, lesbian and bisexual youth. It is part of our professional responsibility. Our misconduct rule says that we shouldn't discriminate based on, I would argue, gender - -- I know it says "sex", but I - - - I say that it's gender - - - and sexual orientation. And it's really important that we recognize that part of not contributing to

misconduct is really educating ourselves and knowing what the current medical, psychiatric standards are in treating transgender youth and that attorneys who come in and have no knowledge of that really need to - - - really should not be representing a young person like Jessie. What we want is somebody who is going to educate themselves, understand what treatment and support looks like for a transgender individual, and the court should be expecting that attorney for the child to really work - - - to really fight on behalf of Jessie's express wishes and really understand that - - - that instead of just substituting their judgment for what they think might be in the best interest of Jessie, that they should be fighting strongly for Jessie to - - - to get further - - - closer and closer to her goals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

JUDGE HEPNER: So from the court's perspective, the issues that this scenario raises are - - require us to take a look at what the custodial options are that we have and how they work in a scenario like this. So our custodial options are typically sole legal and joint custody; they are sole physical custody, shared legal custody, zones of interests, joint legal and physical custody.

I think when we start looking at the factors, again, there are certain ones that are very, very

important to consider; notably, what parent is in the best position to guide and provide for this child's emotional development. This child is developing on a trajectory different than probably most of the - - - both of these parents had envisioned when they had the child fourteen years ago. And so who is prepared to make this shift in focus, who is prepared to learn about their child, who is prepared to learn about the issues, both emotional and social, for the future, and who's prepared to discuss that and work with the child on those things. That's a very important factor.

2.1

Again, who is going to provide for the physical needs of the child. This involves medical decision—making. Often judges split up, say one parent'll do the education, another parent'll pick the doctors, another parent'll pick the summer camp, and so on. That's the zone-of-interest custody model. If one is going to consider giving anyone medical decision-making in a case like Jessie, it is of paramount importance who the person is that gets that. So we need to be aware of - - - of the ramifications of who we pick in those terms.

Who is going to honor the child's wishes. Who's going to be respectful of the child's statement, "I've known since I was four years old." Who is going to think that deserves some honor and respect. And how is

that going to be played out. Or do we have a parent here

- - and this is another factor we want to look at - - who is so invested in having this not happen that they are
going to be a barrier to the other parent, who is not
invested in preventing this, from having a relationship
with their child. Who is going to look at the effect that
an award of custody to one parent will have on the other
parent's relationships; this is a factor we consider in
every other case, but in a situation like this it has
greater significance in my mind.

2.1

And then of course, we all know that the chances of appointing a forensic in this scenario are very high, a forensic expert who's going to assist the judge with providing information and helping to make this decision.

After all, that's the role of the forensic: to aid the judge. So it seems to me our obligation as judicial officers is to inquire into these individuals before we select them; we inquire into their familiarity with their own codes of ethics. Two weeks ago, if you were here, or if you look at your materials, you'll see that every single discipline we deal with - - psychiatry, psychology, social work - - all of them have their own codes of ethics pertaining to the treatment and their work with transgender people.

So what are they familiar with? What do they

know? What is the degree to which they have done the work themselves on cultural competence, of their own? Have they dealt with their own prior conditioning from when they were kids, what they were raised with? What feelings and assumptions that they have about gender identity, gender expression, transitioning? And are they able to be neutral, as they are supposed to be in this role of forensic, in making some custodial or visitation assessment? These are things we need to explore before we assign these people or appoint them.

2.1

We need to explore their familiarity with the literature that Kim was just talking about, about parenting by transgender people, and also the literature about children's responses to parents who transition, if we go back to the scenario we had just before with Allison. So is this person conversant with the literature? Does this person really understand what we are learning about children and their adaptability, what we are learning about their ability to process this information, how they make sense out of what's happened to their world, which has gone topsy-turvy in a very short period of time?

And finally, we need to explore which parent is best and most familiar and can this - - - this forensic person find out, through their investigation, are they

familiar with children making decisions about gender and transitioning on their own, do they have respect for that, or do they, like Ellie, think that they know best, that these children are going through a stage, don't know what they're talking about or they'll change later on. The same - - - the same litany we hear about gay and lesbian kids, we hear about - - we can hear about in the - - - in the area of tran - - - transgender children.

2.1

So these are issues to bring up with forensic experts before we hire them.

And now we have another issue that Elana would like to talk about.

ELANA REDFIELD: Right. So, actually there's two issues that are raised by Jessie that need to be addressed right now; one of them is the issue of medical care. And as a minor, she is not allowed to consent to her own medical care, and the parents are going to play a role in this decision-making, as Judge Hepner already pointed out.

So this is especially urgent because at this age, if you can kind of get in there before - - - you know, between the ages of, like, I don't know, twelve and eighteen, you can make a difference in the effectiveness of the care and just the - - - the, sort of, power of the decision. So if young people can start taking hormone

blockers, that leaves a lot of things open for them and it delays the onset of puberty. And also, if they can start taking cross-gender hormone therapy in an earlier age, it may be more effective. So it raises the issue of how to get that care covered.

2.1

And it also raises the issue of the name change. So, again, a minor cannot bring their own name-change petition; it has to be brought by a parent or a guardian. And if both parents consent to it, then it's a pretty smooth procedure; but that's often not the case, as we see here. And I think it comes down to who has the legal right to make decisions about this.

In a case of a parent who has relinquished their legal rights to make decisions, then you can just notify the parent and move on, or make a due-diligence - - - make your attempts to notify the parent, and move on. But if - - if the parent does have legal rights, then they could potentially object to the name change, and that leaves the young person in a bad position until they're eighteen and they can do it on their own.

JUDGE HEPNER: So I think we've come to the end of our program. What we wanted to do with these scenarios is present fact patterns that would be seen by clerks in petition rooms filling out papers on behalf of families, lawyers representing their clients, court attorneys who

conference cases for the judges, and finally, the judges who would see these scenarios in the courtroom.

Go ahead.

2.1

KIMBERLY FORTE: I just wanted to make one last point. We know that sometimes, with legal names, we can't always change our dockets because it says So-and-So versus So-and-So, and - - - and Pooja gave us - - -

JUDGE HEPNER: Right.

KIMBERLY FORTE: - - - great examples of how we can avoid some of that. When it comes to young people, their name is going to appear throughout the case and they're - - - you know, they're a party to that case. So I would firmly advocate that you consider using "formerly known as" as an - - - as - - - so in this case, saying that the child's name is Jessie and - - - and she's formerly known as Justin, in your paperwork, in the court, in the file; ask that the parties involved call her Jessie throughout the proceeding; ask that the experts involved also have their documents, you know, reflect such, and that Jessie be the name be (sic) used.

It's really important that if this young person is going to go through this very grueling process of a custody battle between their parents, that they're affirmed throughout that entire process, and "formerly known as" is a way to do that if in fact that legal name

change has - - - hasn't been able to happen for Jessie in this circumstance.

JUDGE HEPNER: So, thank you. Yes?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Question.

JUDGE HEPNER: Do we have time for questions, timekeeper? Five minutes? Yes?

Who has the mic?

2.1

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So in determining the best interest of the child and listening to the expressed interest of the child, how have you dealt with the situation where the child is older - - let's say a teenager - - and there is parental alienation by one parent against the other parent, who's transgendered, and the child is adopting that parent's approach?

JUDGE HEPNER: That's obviously another factor.

One of the factors we look at is how much is one parent's behavior and verbalizations infiltrating into the children's minds, how much are they poisoning a child against another parent. And it won't be any different for the transgender parent than it is for a nontransgender person. If there is a basis for deep ingrained hate, it's going to be there.

KIMBERLY FORTE: I think the - - - the response to that is, one, there's a lot of new research on the

concept of parental alienation and that it's actually not

- - - not an actual thing anymore, in accordance with how
they do evaluations of custody. So, getting educated on
that exact topic and doing the investigation into what
factors people used to use that terminology for, but
what's going in when - - - when parents are influencing
the minds of their young children, to getting an
independent evaluator that's not associated with either
party, and really talking to that young person - - - look,
when you have an adolescent, it's hard to force them to do
anything. I'm sure, if any of you are parents of
adolescents, you very well know that. I've represented
them for years. I know that.

2.1

So the reality is - - - is trying to put as many measures in place that that young person has someone to express their concerns to, what they're feeling, their fears if that's where this is rooted out of, and really how they're feeling. Sometimes it's fearing the loss of the other parent that is really going on here, and not so much not an acceptance of their parent who may identify as transgender, or anything else, but really the fear of losing the parent who says, like, "If you go live with them, I'll never speak to you again."

So, you know, it's really getting to the heart of what that young person is facing, and trying to work

them to a place where they can at least spend some time and see that relationship and see how it flourishes, because ultimately we - - - in family court, we should be fostering as - - - or taking as many opportunities to foster a family for young people, because what we know is all studies prove that children need their parents, both parents, as - - as often - - - as possible.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Um-hum.

2.1

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: In the example of Peter and Ellie and - - - concerning Jessie, to what extent can you direct therapy of Ellie as a condition of visitation? And what if Ellie had insisted that - - - refused the therapy on a religious basis?

JUDGE HEPNER: I think you'll find a lot of case law that says you can't condition but you can recommend. And you can - - - even if you're not conditioning it on therapy, you can put parameters in your order that say you must demonstrate that you have, however you've done it, become more affirming, become less rigid, become more accepting. You know, people can do that talking to their ministers, going to, you know, any kind of spiritual involvement. I mean, there're a lot of ways to get to the point of recognizing that we're all the same, we're all one people. And, you know, I think you can ask people to come and demonstrate in openness, however they choose to

do that.

All right, so I hope this has been helpful.

This is not a program that answered a lot of questions;

this is a program that raised issues. Each one of these
scenarios could have been a very long training in and of
itself. We recognize that we didn't intend to make this a
training on that but, rather, to just acquaint you with
what you might be seeing in your various roles as we go
forward, now that we have marriage equality and now that
we have recognition of many different types of family
constellations.

So, thank you for coming.

KIMBERLY FORTE: Thank you.

(Proceedings are concluded)

CERTIFICATION

I, Clara Rubin, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the New York State Judicial Institute, entitled "Transgender Litigants in the Court System", was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.



Signature:	

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: March 11, 2014